Kinetic Simulations of Magnetized Turbulence in Astrophysical Plasmas
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This letter presents the first ab initio, fully electromagnetic, kinetic simulations of magnetized turbulence in a homogeneous, weakly collisional plasma at the scale of the ion Larmor radius (ion gyroscale). Magnetic and electric-field energy spectra show a break at the ion gyroscale; the spectral slopes are consistent with scaling predictions for critically balanced turbulence of Alfvén waves above the ion gyroscale (spectral index $-5/3$) and of kinetic Alfvén waves below the ion gyroscale (spectral indices of $-7/3$ for magnetic and $-1/3$ for electric fluctuations). This behavior is also qualitatively consistent with in situ measurements of turbulence in the solar wind. Our findings support the hypothesis that the frequencies of turbulent fluctuations in the solar wind remain well below the ion cyclotron frequency both above and below the ion gyroscale.

PACS numbers: 52.35.Ra, 52.65.Tt, 96.50.Tf

Introduction. A wide variety of astrophysical plasmas—e.g., the solar wind and corona, the interstellar and intracluster medium, accretion disks around compact objects—are magnetized and turbulent. The turbulence in these systems is damped at small scales where the plasma is only weakly collisional, so a kinetic description is required. It is often a good approximation to consider small fluctuations occurring on top of an equilibrium state with a uniform (or large-scale) dynamically strong mean magnetic field (the Kraichnan hypothesis [1]). The resulting (subsonic) magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence is believed to be a Kolmogorov-like cascade of spatially anisotropic Alfvénic fluctuations [2]. Such anisotropy is observed in laboratory plasmas [3], the solar wind [4], and numerical simulations [5]. Assuming a critical balance between the linear frequencies and nonlinear decorrelation rates [2, 4], the anisotropy is scale-dependent with wave numbers parallel and perpendicular to the local mean field related by $k_\parallel \propto k_\perp^{2/3}$. This implies that in most astrophysical plasmas, the frequencies of the Alfvénic fluctuations remain below the ion cyclotron frequency, $\omega = k_{\parallel} v_A \ll \Omega_i$, even as the perpendicular wavelength reaches the ion gyroscale, $k_{\perp} \rho_i \sim 1$.

Such fluctuations are well described by gyrokinetics (GK), a rigorous low-frequency anisotropic limit of kinetic theory [2, 8, 9, 10], which systematically averages out the cyclotron motion of particles about the magnetic field. In GK, the MHD fast wave and cyclotron resonances are ordered out, while finite Larmor radius effects and the collisionless Landau resonance are retained. GK enables numerical studies of kinetic turbulence with today’s computational resources because the gyroaveraging eliminates fast time scales and reduces the dimensionality of phase space from six to five (three spatial dimensions plus the parallel and perpendicular particle velocities). GK has been used to study electrostatic turbulence in fusion plasmas for decades, but there have been few GK treatments of astrophysical plasma turbulence. GK is not applicable to large-scale, roughly isotropic fluctuations, such as are directly excited in the interstellar medium by supernovae. However, the fluctuations in magnetized plasma turbulence become smaller amplitude and more anisotropic at smaller scales. GK theory and simulations are thus appropriate, and hold considerable promise, for studies of microscopic phenomena such as turbulent heating and magnetic reconnection, and for interpreting observations of short-wavelength turbulent fluctuations. This Letter reports the first ab initio, fully electromagnetic, kinetic simulations of turbulence in a magnetized weakly collisional astrophysical plasma.

The study of turbulence in weakly collisional plasmas benefits greatly from access to a unique laboratory—the near-Earth solar wind—in which spacecraft make in situ measurements of the properties of turbulence from the large (energy-containing) scales to the small, kinetic scales at which fluctuations are damped. The one-dimensional frequency spectrum of magnetic fluctuations typically shows a power-law behavior with a $-5/3$ slope at low frequencies [11], a break at a few tenths of a Hz, and a steeper power-law at higher frequencies with a slope that varies between $-2$ and $-4$ [12]. It is generally agreed that the $-5/3$ range is an MHD inertial range, while the break and the dissipation-range slope have been variously attributed to proton cyclotron damping [13], Landau damping of kinetic Alfvén waves (KAW) [14], or the dispersion of...
whistler waves \cite{15}. Recent simultaneous measurements of the magnetic- and electric-field fluctuations found an increase in the wave phase velocity above the spectral break \cite{16}, a finding consistent with the conversion to a KAW cascade but inconsistent with cyclotron damping \cite{10}. The GK simulations presented below capture all of the spectral features described above and show magnetic- and electric-energy spectra similar to those reported empirically in \cite{16}. Our simulation results suggest that the turbulent fluctuation spectra observed in the solar wind are a consequence of the transition from an Alfvén-wave to a KAW cascade.

**The Code.** We have used AstroGK, a new GK code developed specifically to study astrophysical turbulence. AstroGK is essentially a slab version of the publicly available code GS2, used to study plasma turbulence in fusion devices \cite{17}. We now give a brief overview of the code. A detailed description will appear elsewhere.

The simulation domain is a periodic flux tube with a straight uniform mean magnetic field \( B_0 \) and no equilibrium gradients. The equilibrium distribution is taken to be Maxwellian for all particle species. The code solves the GK equation \cite{8}, evolving the perturbed gyroaveraged distribution function \( f_s(x, y, z, \varepsilon, \xi) \) of the guiding centers for each species \( s \) —ions (protons) and electrons with the correct mass ratio \( m_i/m_e = 1836 \). Spatial dimensions \( (x, y) \) perpendicular to the mean field are treated pseudospectrally; a conservative finite-difference scheme is used in the parallel direction \( z \). A gyroaveraged pitch-angle-scattering collision operator \cite{9} is used. The pitch-angle derivatives are done using second-order finite differences. The electromagnetic field is represented by the scalar potential \( \phi \), parallel vector potential \( A_\parallel \), and the parallel magnetic field perturbation \( \delta B_\parallel \). These are determined from the quasineutrality condition and Ampère’s law \cite{8}, where the charge densities and currents are calculated as velocity-space moments of the perturbed distribution function. These velocity-space integrals (over particle energies \( \varepsilon_s = m_s v^2/2 \) and pitch angles \( \xi = v_\parallel/v \)) are done with spectral accuracy, using high-order Gaussian–Legendre integration rules. The linear terms in the GK system, including the field equations, are advanced implicitly in time; for the nonlinear terms, an explicit, third-order Adams-Bashforth scheme is used.

**Linear Benchmarks.** In an earlier paper \cite{8}, we verified that GS2 correctly describes linear kinetic physics in the parameter regimes relevant to astrophysical plasmas. AstroGK has been checked to agree with GS2 exactly and also benchmarked against linear kinetic theory, as illustrated by Fig. 1 for \( k_\parallel \rho_i \ll 1 \), we have Alfvén waves, \( \omega = \pm k_\parallel v_A \), and the damping is very small; for \( k_\parallel \rho_i \gg 1 \), these become kinetic Alfvén waves, \( \omega = \pm k_\parallel v_A k_\perp \rho_i/\sqrt{3}, \) \( + 2/(1 + T_e/T_i), \) so their phase velocity increases linearly with \( k_\perp \) and they are also more strongly damped, in excellent agreement with linear theory \cite{8,18}. Here \( v_A = B_0/\sqrt{4\pi m_i n_i} \) is the Alfvén speed, \( n_i \) the ion number density, \( T_e \) and \( T_i \) the ion and electron temperatures, and \( \beta_i = 8\pi n_i T_i/B_0^2 \).

**Driving.** The driving and dissipation scales in astrophysical turbulence are widely separated: e.g., in the slow solar wind, the ion gyroscale is \( \rho_i \sim 10^6 \) cm, while the effective driving scale is \( L \sim 10^{11} \) cm \cite{10}. Such scale separations are, of course, not accessible numerically. In our simulations, the size of the domain is understood to be much smaller than the driving scale. We model the energy influx from larger scales by adding to Ampère’s law a parallel “antenna” current \( j_{\parallel, \text{ant}} \). For each chosen driving wave vector \( \mathbf{k}_s \), the antenna amplitude is calculated from a Langevin equation whose solutions are Alfvén waves with wave vector \( \mathbf{k}_s \), frequency \( \omega = \pm k_\parallel v_A \) and a decorrelation rate comparable to \( \omega \). This method of driving is motivated by the theoretical expectation that the turbulence is a small viscosity (Kolmogorov cascade). In GK turbulence, the cascades in position and velocity space are linked, so we may speak of a kinetic cascade in five-dimensional phase space \cite{8}. Collisionless Landau damping of the electromagnetic fluctuations leads to particle heating in the sense that it transfers the electromagnetic fluctuation energy into fluctuations of the particle distribution function (the kinetic entropy cascade \cite{8}), which are then converted into heat by collisions.

A detailed analysis of the kinetic cascade will be presented in a separate study, but the lesson is that kinetic turbulence simulations need to include collisions.

![FIG. 1: Normalized frequencies $\omega/k_\parallel v_A$ and damping rates $\gamma/k_\parallel v_A$ vs. the normalized perpendicular wave number $k_\perp \rho_i$ for a plasma with $\beta_i = 1$ and $T_i/T_e = 1$. AstroGK (open squares) correctly reproduces the analytic results from the linear collisionless gyrokinetic dispersion relation (line) \cite{8}.](image-url)
and need to have sufficient velocity-space resolution for the correct relationship to be established between small-scale structures in velocity and position space. Accomplishing this with a physical collision operator simultaneously for ions and electrons is very difficult. To ease the resolution requirements, we employ a hypercollisionality (analogous to hyperviscosity in fluid simulations). This takes the form of a pitch-angle-scattering operator with a wave-number-dependent collision rate \( \nu_h(\kappa_1/\kappa_{\text{max}}) \), where \( \kappa_{\text{max}} \) is the grid-scale wave number. This artificially enhanced collision term terminates the cascade and produces positive-definite heating close to the grid scale, while allowing essentially collisionless physics at larger scales. For the ions, the importance of the hypercollisionality is marginal, while for the electrons, we needed a large value of \( \nu_h \). As a result, electron heating (at the electron gyroscale \( \rho_e \)) is not well modeled, but this is an acceptable sacrifice because our focus is on the turbulent cascade through the ion gyroscale at \( \rho_i \gg \rho_e \).

**Results.** The physical parameters in GK simulations of plasma turbulence are \( T_i/T_e \) and \( \beta_i \). Here both are set to 1, sensible characteristic values for the solar wind at 1 AU, and for the interstellar medium; a full parameter scan is clearly desirable in the future (e.g., \( \beta_i \) in the solar wind at 1 AU varies roughly between 0.1 and 10). By varying the driving wave number \( k_a \) and the (hyper)collision rate, we may focus on various scale ranges. Here we present results obtained for the inertial range \( (k_{\perp}\rho_i \ll 1) \) and around the ion gyroscale \( (k_{\perp}\rho_i \sim 1) \). In what follows, the normalized magnetic-energy spectrum is defined \( E_{B_\parallel}(\kappa_1) = (L_z/L_i^2)2\pi k_1^2 \int dz |A_{\parallel}(\kappa_1,z)|^2/8\pi n_i T_i \), where \( k_1 \) is measured in units of \( \rho_i^{-1} \), \( L_z \) and \( L_i \) are parallel and perpendicular box dimensions, and the angle brackets denote angle averaging over a wavenumber shell centered at \( |\kappa_1| = k_1 \) and with the width equal to \( 2\pi/L_i \). The normalized electric-energy spectrum \( E_{E_\parallel}(\kappa_1) \) is defined in a similar way in terms of \( \varphi_{k_1} \), with an extra factor of \( (c/v_A)^2 \), where \( c \) is the speed of light.

In the inertial range, \( k_{\perp}\rho_i \ll 1 \), the Reduced MHD equations are the rigorous limit of GK for Alfvénic fluctuations \[9\]. Thus, kinetic turbulence in this regime must be consistent with the numerical results obtained in MHD simulations \[5\]. Fig. 2 shows the normalized magnetic and electric energy spectra calculated gyrokinetically in this regime. As expected for critically balanced Alfvénic turbulence \[2\], these spectra are coincident and have a scaling consistent with \( k_{\perp}^{-5/3} \). This is the first demonstration of an MHD turbulence spectrum in a kinetic simulation. While this is not a surprising result, it can be viewed as a fully nonlinear benchmark.

Our main numerical experiment focuses on scales near \( k_{\perp}\rho_i \sim 1 \). This regime cannot be simulated by any fluid model. However, we know from theory that low-frequency Alfvénic turbulence is rigorously described by Reduced MHD equations for \( k_{\perp}\rho_i \ll 1 \) and by a similarly reduced version of the Electron MHD equations for \( k_{\perp}\rho_i \gg 1 \[8\]. The latter system supports kinetic Alfvén waves (see Fig. 1). If one assumes a turbulent cascade of KA W-like fluctuations decorrelating on a timescale comparable to the linear KA W period (critical balance), a Kolmogorov-style scaling argument predicts that the magnetic-energy spectrum steepens from \( k_{\perp}^{-5/3} \) to \( k_{\perp}^{-7/3} \), while the electric-energy spectrum flattens to \( k_{\perp}^{-1/3} \[8\]. Thus, a spectral break is expected around \( k_{\perp}\rho_i \sim 1 \), corresponding to the transition between Alfvén-wave and KA W turbulence. Fig. 3 shows the magnetic energy spectra in our simulations near this transition. A spectral break is, indeed, observed (at \( k_{\perp}\rho_i \simeq 2 \)), as is the steepening (flattening) of the magnetic-electric-energy spectra. The spectra at wave numbers below and above the transition are consistent with the above predictions for critically balanced Alfvén-wave and KA W cascades \[2\[8\10\19\].

There is a striking similarity between the simulated
spectra shown in Fig. 3 and the magnetic- and electric-energy spectra in the solar wind reported in [16]. The increase in phase velocity in the dissipation range \((k_\perp \rho_i > 1)\), shown by both measurement and simulation, is compelling evidence that the observed breaks in the spectra are caused by a transition to a KAW cascade, not by the onset of ion cyclotron damping [10].

The scaling predictions for KAW turbulence are made assuming negligible Landau damping. In our simulations, the damping is, indeed, small, so it is reasonable that the scaling predictions are well satisfied. However, this will not be true in all real astrophysical situations. We have argued [10] that the spectra much steeper than \(k_\perp^{-7/3}\) often observed in the solar wind [12] can be due to non-negligible Landau damping. A simple way to estimate the effects of the damping on the energy spectra was proposed in [10] (see also [18]), where a spectral model of the turbulent cascade was constructed based on three assumptions: (i) spectrally local energy transfer, (ii) critical balance, (iii) the applicability of the linear damping rates. Using this model, the energy spectrum \(E_B(k_\perp)\) can be predicted in the entire simulation range, given one “Kolmogorov” constant, which quantifies the linear damping rate relative to the non-linear cascade rate. In Fig. 3 we show that this analytical model reproduces the entire shape of the numerical spectrum. The model works well without fine tuning, for a range of values of the constant; this is because the damping is small in this simulation and our model captures the transition from Alfvénic to KAW turbulence. The agreement between the analytical model and the simulations is a non-trivial result: it suggests that the linear damping rate does not significantly underestimate the rate at which the electromagnetic energy is dissipated in the nonlinear simulations. Future simulations will determine whether stronger linear damping can account for the steeper spectra often observed in the solar wind.

A further test of the conclusion that we are seeing a KAW cascade in the simulations is achieved by using the linear GK eigenfunctions for KAWs to produce the energy spectra for the electric fluctuations \((E_\perp)\) and for the fluctuations of the field strength \((\delta B_\parallel)\). These fit the spectra measured from our numerical data well (Fig. 3).

Conclusions. We have presented first-of-a-kind kinetic simulations of turbulence in a weakly collisional, magnetized plasma. The ion-gyroscale turbulent fluctuations simulated here represent the fate of a larger-scale MHD cascade. The qualitative agreement between our simulations and solar-wind measurements [10] supports theoretical models in which the turbulent fluctuations in the solar wind have frequencies well below the ion cyclotron frequency even when the cascade reaches the (perpendicular) scale of the ion Larmor radius. The observed break in the magnetic-energy spectrum in the solar wind is inferred to correspond to a transition to kinetic-Alfvén-wave turbulence, not to the onset of ion cyclotron damping. Although half a billion mesh points were used in the case of Fig. 3, the resolution in velocity space is still not fully sufficient to draw detailed conclusions about the turbulent heating. Nonetheless, the agreement between the simulations and an analytical cascade model based on linear damping rates implies that the latter do not significantly underestimate the true damping in a turbulent collisionless plasma. Future simulations will probe a range of plasma parameters, including more heavily damped regimes, that will allow a more quantitative study of the role of collisionless damping in turbulent plasmas. The first results reported in this Letter demonstrate that such kinetic simulations of plasma turbulence may be undertaken with some confidence, using existing computational resources.
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